

## COURSE UNIT (MODULE) TITLE

Course unit (module) title	Code
Project Drafting	

Academic staff	Core academic unit(s)				
Coordinating:					
Assoc. prof. Jurgita Joniškienė	Vilnius University Šiauliai Academy				
Other:					

Study cycle	Type of the course unit				
First	Mandatory				

Mode of delivery	Semester or period when it is delivered	Language of instruction
Mixed learning	Autumn / Spring semester	English

Requisites							
Prerequisites	Co-requisites (if relevant):						
Management, Fundamentals of Finance, Investment							
Economics							

Number of ECTS credits allocated	Student's workload (total)	Contact hours	Individual work	
5	133	53	80	

Purpose of the course unit
To provide students with knowledge about how to initiate and plan projects and prepare applications according to the
main methods of project preparation.

main methods of project preparation.								
Learning outcomes of the course unit	Teaching and learning methods	Assessment methods						
Ability to apply the newest economic knowledge, identify economic problems related to manufacturing, and expand service and trading companies, who may need these projects. Ability to find and analyse information, related to the financing of investment projects.	Case analysis, Information search task.	Preparation and presentation of individual work (project financing programme).						
Understanding of the most important principles for the preparation of projects and usage during the preparation of projects. Understanding of project environment and knowledge of interested parties.	Mind map, problem solving.	Presentation of the project's problem tree and justification of the project problem (based on a selected idea).						
Ability to make the logical structure, schedule and budget of the project, to determine risks and to consider financing sources.	Case analysis, and creation of development scenarios.	Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix).						
Ability to initiate project ideas, prepare mock project application to	Preparation of a project, group work	Exam: Investment project and its presentation.						

solve a problem of a company and receive funding, while actively working in a team.		
Will be able to think analytically, systematically, critically and creatively, solve problems and work in groups, present information and discuss, to plan the learning process independently.	Project preparation, group work	Exam: Investment project and its presentation.

		Contact hours						Ind	ividual work: time and assignments
Content	Lectures/e-learning	Tutorials	Seminars	Workshops/e-learning	Laboratory work	Internship	Contact hours, total	Individual work	Tasks for individual work
1. Essence of a project, its characteristics and classification.	2			1			3	5	Reading of scientific literature (main literature source No. 1, pg. 5–10, No. 2 pg. 4–8)
2. Life cycle of a project.	2			1			3	5	Reading of scientific literature (main literature source No. 1 pg. 10–12. No. 2 pg. 22–28)
3. Principles of planning of a project. Characteristics of preparation and management of projects aiming to get funding.	4			2			6	10	Searching for information online. Preparation and presentation of individual work (project programme) (10 slides)
4. Environment, participants and structural models of a project.	2	1		1			4	10	Analysis of scientific literature (main literature source No. 1 pg. 16–18, No. 2 pg. 43–48) Preparation for initiation of a project.
5. Initiation of a project, content of its vital parts and procedure of preparation.	2			2			4	5	Preparation and presentation of the project's problem tree and justification of the project problem (based on a selected business development problem or idea).
6. Logical structure of a project.	2			4			6	5	Preparation of group work (project logical structure matrix).
7. Planning of project activities.	2	1		2			5	5	Analysis of scientific literature: Project time management (main literature source No. 1 pg. 19–21, No. 2 pg. 26)
8. Planning of project funds. Making of project budget.	4			2			6	5	Scientific literature analysis: Project budget. (Main literature source

								No. 1 pg. 22–24, No. 2 pg. 26–27)
9. Assessment of the project's risk and uncertainty.	4			4		8	5	Scientific literature analysis: Project risks. (Main literature source No. 1 pg. 51–58, No. 2 pg. 27–28)
10. Value and efficiency of investment projects.	3	1		2		6	5	Scientific literature analysis: Project assessment. (Main literature source No. 1 pg. 33-35, No. 2 pg. 73– 75)
11. Preparation for exam				2		2	20	Preparation of a project application.
Total	27	3	0	23		53	80	

Assessment strategy	Weight %	Deadline	Assessment criteria
Preparation and presentation of individual work (project programme)	10%	4 <sup>th</sup> week	Evaluation Criteria:  Validity of the choice 1 point The student justified his choice, and chose a functioning, relevant and appropriate program for the organization. 0.5 points The student chose a program that is relevant and suitable for the organization, but has already been closed or has not yet announced invitations. 0 points The student chose a program whose goals and funded activities are far from the interests of the organization. The student did not justify his choice.  Quality of analysis 1 point The student provides a holistic analysis of the program while emphasizing exactly those priorities and/or measures that are relevant for the organization. Thoughts are laid out clearly and logically. The student demonstrates an understanding of the program administration, project selection and approval processes. 0.5 points The student provides an analysis of only individual program priorities/measures that meet the organization's operational interests, without disclosing the program as a whole. Thoughts are taught logically but are more referential than analytical. The student is not sufficiently immersed in the processes of program administration, project selection and approval. 0 points The student provides a reference description of the entire program, does not disclose the organization's opportunities to participate in program invitations and does not explain the processes of program administration, project selection and approval. Quality of presentation 1 point During the presentation, the student easily manages the content, comments on the visually presented information, boldly expresses thoughts, attracts the attention of the audience, and tries to maintain constant contact with the audience. The student firmly adheres to his/her position and answers all questions in a reasoned manner. The presentation is visually effective: the information is visual, uncluttered and meaningful. 0.5 points The presentation of the topic is clear and consistent, but there is a lot of excitement, the information presente

efficiency: the use of visual aids is lacking, and the information presented on the silities is overloaded with text 0.5 points. The student does not control the content, does not comment on visual information, and does not dincrest the audience. The presentation significantly lacks visual efficiency: the content is conveyed exclusively in the form of text, and the use of visual aids is lacking.  Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem tree and justification of project problem are stated.  Joint The project problem is prepared; statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem are listed.  Joint: The problem the target group, and methods to solve the project problem are listed.  Joint: The problem the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: a tructure matrix.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  15th week  3 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix when y perpared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project puppose, clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable grople puppose, clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, quantilative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, quantilative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group presents a prepared project application. Althe necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project activities, and provided are filled, and the project activities, and prov		T	1	
text 0.5 points. The student does not control the content, does not tomment on visual information, and does not interest the audience. The presentation significantly lacks visual efficiency: the content is conveyed exclusively in the form of text, and the use of visual aids is lacking.  Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem are and solution tree hased on a selected problem. The project problem is prepared statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem are listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is to be jit the project problem is firsted in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is to be jit the project problem is firsted in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is too be jit the project problem is firsted in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is too be jit the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  15th week project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and cavity-related results.  2 points: The begical structure matrix prepared by the student group presents a prepared by the student group presents and purpose, tasks, and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, developed the student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project management plan, plan includes project activities, and provided and project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project terms are believed the project application. The main parts of the project application. The main parts				efficiency: the use of visual aids is lacking, and the
does not comment on visual information, and does not interest the audience. The presentation is girificantly lacks visual difficiency: the content is conveyed exclusively in the form of text, and the use of visual aids is lacking.  Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem tree and justification of project problem of the group work: Project problem and solution tree based on a selected problem. Justification of the project problem are issted.  1 point: The problem tree prepared by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a visual exposure of a problem. The project problem is listed in a chosen project problem are prepared based on a chosen project problem activity in the project of project problem are activity in the project propes, clear, concrete and activity has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and activity prepared based on a chosen project propes. Prepared based on a chosen project propes, clear, concrete and activity prepared by the student group presents a logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and activity prepared by the student group pass, clear, concrete and activity prepared by the student group pass, clear, concrete and activity expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not cligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are understanding.  Exam: Project and its  40%  17-20th week  Exam: Project and its  40%  17-20th week  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and one destraining in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group,				
interest the audience. The presentation significantly lacks visual efficiency: the content is conveyed exclusively in the form of text, and the use of visual aids is lacking.  Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem of the group work: Project problem is lacking.  2 points: The student group presents the project's problem of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared: statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem are listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  15th week  15t				-
lacks visual efficiency: the content is conveyed exclusively in the form of fext, and the use of visual aids is lacking.   2 points: The student group presents the project's problem work: Project's problem and solution tree based on a selected problem. Justification of the project problem is prepared by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only a structure matrix)    Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)   30%   15th week work (project logical structure matrix)   3 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financip hased on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.   2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group packs a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.   1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.   1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts for the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.   1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix are used.   1 point: The project propect care, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Citical remarks are provided in the course of work ar				
Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem tree and justification of project problem  Shake the project problem and solution tree based on a selected problem. Justification of project problem  Solve the project problem are listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is to big: the problem problem are listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is too big: the problem bears and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty, Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Short the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Joints: The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and activity-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  Spoints: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities are vagely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks, and activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, duplication is logical and carry and students are used.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  Project and its  Alma the project application. All the necessary parts for the project are filled, and the project as purpose, tasks, activities, and provides management plan.  Plan includes project and carry and expla				interest the audience. The presentation significantly
Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem tree and justification of project problem   20%   8% week   2 points: The student group presents the project problem in substitution of project problem   1 point: The problem and solution tree based on a selected problem.   1 point: The problem are listed.   1 point: The problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.   3 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix they prepared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix they prepared based on a chosen project. The matrix they prepared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eighlie for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.   2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.   1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.   40%   17-20th week   40 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts for the project are filled, and the project has purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a checkule of activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to question				lacks visual efficiency: the content is conveyed
Preparation and presentation of the group work: Project's problem free and justification of project problem  8th week project problem is prepared; statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared; statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared; statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared; a point. The project problem is prepared; is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, take of certainty, Justification is too big; the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  2 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose, related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaquely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  40%  17-20th week  40%  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and he activities, and he necessary parts of the project application. All the necessary parts are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management plan. The plan provides solve for the project and pug				exclusively in the form of text, and the use of visual aids
problem mean solution tree based on a selected problem is propolem from the project problem is prepared: statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared: statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation work (project logical structure)  Presentation wor				is lacking.
problem mean solution tree based on a selected problem is propolem from the project problem is prepared: statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is prepared: statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation work (project logical structure)  Presentation wor	Preparation and presentation	20%	8th week	2 points: The student group presents the project's
Justification of project problem is prepared; statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem are listed.  1 point: The problem tree prepared by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, take of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  2 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose task unduplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, interest, except the project and activities, and a provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project and its except project than purpose, tasks, cativities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project than guance, tasks, activ				
statistics, problems of the target group, and methods to solve the project problem are listed.  1 point: The problem are listed. 1 point: The problem tree prepared by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big: the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  15th week are matrix)  15th week and project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results. 2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities are not eligible for financing quantitative expression of results is unclear. 1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are made to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its present and the project and the				Justification of the project problem is prepared:
solve the project problem are listed.  I point: The problem tree prepared by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big; the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  30%  I5th week a problem: The student group presents a logical structure matrix, the summary of the logical structure matrix, has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  40%  17-20th week presentation  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 poi				
Presentation of the group with the problem. The proper by the student group is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  Presentation of the group and the project logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose, related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative prope presents a prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project application. All the necessary parts Text of the project application. All the project management plan. Plan includes project manageme				
is not finished, hard to see the reasons and consequences of a problem. The project problem is listed in a very abstract way, lack of certainty. Justification is too big; the problem cannot be solved with one project only work (project logical structure matrix)  30% 15th week 3 problem cannot be solved with one project only work (project logical structure matrix they prepared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing) sheed on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  40% 17-20th week present and its quantitative project results.  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student				
Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)  15th week work (project logical structure matrix has a well-defined and exhievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (cligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and activities, schedule of activities, all he necessary parts were used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provided management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project and schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The tex				
Bexam: Project and its presentation  Exam: Project and its presentation  17-20th week  17-20th week  20				
the problem cannot be solved with one project only.  The student group presents a logical structure matrix (project logical structure matrix)  15th week structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (cligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  presentation  17-20th week 4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of owrk are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project application. The main parts of the project application in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  Students are able to present project management plan.				
Presentation of the group work (project logical structure matrix)    15th week   3 points: The student group presents a logical structure matrix (hey prepared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.   2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear. I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.				
work (project logical structure matrix)  matrix they prepared based on a chosen project. The logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  17-20th week  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  I point: The project present pro	Presentation of the group	30%	15th week	
structure matrix)    logical structure matrix has a well-defined and achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose-related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Presentation    17-20th week		3070	15 WCCK	
achievable project purpose, clear, concrete and purpose- related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts of the project are sused.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project management plan. The plan provided solution for the problem of the				
related tasks, task-related activities (eligible for financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  17-20th week  17-20th week  17-20th week  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other excessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management of the project clear, the provided solution for the problem of t	structure matrix)			
financing based on the programme), and clear, concrete and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Exam: Project and its  40%  17-20th week  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared by the student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but of the project are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided soluti				
and activity-related results.  2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Presentation  17-20th week  40%  17-20th week  40%  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				, ,
2 points: The logical structure matrix prepared by the student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  40%  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
student group lacks a logical connection between purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  17-20th week  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled,, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides solution for the problem of the clear, the provided solution for the problem of the clear, the provided solution for the problem of the clear.				
purpose, tasks and activities, results are vaguely defined, some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  Project and its  17-20th week  Presentation  17-20th week  Presentation  17-20th week  Project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
some activities are not eligible for financing, quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Exam: Project and its  presentation  40%  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
quantitative expression of results is unclear.  1 point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  Presentation  17-20th week  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts Text of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
I point: The purpose of the logical structure matrix, prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project and its presentation  Exam: Project and its  ### 17-20th week*  17-20th week*  ### 2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
prepared by the student group, has a purpose that duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  Project and its  Project and its  Project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
duplicates the tasks, most of the activities are not eligible for financing, the wording is incorrect, and students are unable to list quantitative project results.  Exam: Project and its  presentation  17-20th week  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
Exam: Project and its presentation  17-20th week project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used. Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
Exam: Project and its presentation  40% 17-20th week presentation  40% 17-20th week project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used. Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
Exam: Project and its presentation  40%  17-20th week presentation  4 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
project application. All the necessary parts of the project are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management plan.				
are filled, and the project has purpose, tasks, activities, schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided. If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the	Exam: Project and its	40%	17-20th week	
schedule of activities, all the necessary parts Text of the project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the	presentation			
project application is logical and clear, and subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				• • •
Students are able to present project management plan. Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				project application is logical and clear, and subject terms
Plan includes project activities, and provides management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				are used.
management of human resources, risks, finances, time, etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				Students are able to present project management plan.
etc.  Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				Plan includes project activities, and provides
Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical. Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				management of human resources, risks, finances, time,
Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				etc.
Reasoned, justified, and explained understanding. Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				Answers to questions are clear, efficient and logical.
Critical remarks are provided on the difficulties of preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
preparing the project, the causes of problems arising in the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
the course of work are identified and ways of removing them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				<u>*</u>
them are provided.  If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
If the work was done in a group, the input of each group member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
member is clear.  2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
2 points: Student or student group presents a prepared project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
project application. The main parts of the project are filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
filled, the project has purpose, tasks, activities, and a schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
schedule of activities, but other necessary parts are absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
absent. The text of the project is logical enough; clear, subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
subject terms are used.  Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
Students are able to present project management plan. The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
The plan provides only a few management stages.  1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				· ·
1 point: The project presentation logic is not entirely clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
clear, the provided solution for the problem of the				
project is partially coherent. Many parts of the project				
				project is partially coherent. Many parts of the project

application are missing. The project management plan is only partially prepared. Mostly used domestic terms. Trying to arrange ideas logically, afraid to reason. Reasoned, but barely justified, hardly explained understanding. Answers to questions are not exactly
clear, efficient and logical.

Author (-s)	Publishing year	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication	Publishing house or web link				
Required reading								
PMBOK guide	2013	A guide to the project management body of knowledge: PMBOK guide.		Project Management Institute				
Richardson G., L.	2015	Project management theory and practice.		Boca Raton (Fla.) : Auerbach Publications.				
2021–2027 m. EU investment funds				https://www.esinvesticij os.lt/lt/pasirengimas- 2021-2027/2021-2027- m-es-fondu-investiciju- programos-rengimas				
Recommended reading								
Garth G.F. Ward	2018	Effective Project Management		Wiley-Blackwell publishers				
Watt, A.	2014	Project Management		Publisher: BC campus				