

COURSE UNIT DESCRIPTION

Course unit title						Course unit code		
	neering				7124			
Lectur Coordinator: Audroné Luper		DepartmentDepartment of Software EngineeringInstitute of InformaticsVilnius University						
Cy Seco		Type of the course unit Compulsory						
			unit is delivered			nguage of instruction		
		Prerec	quisites					
Prerequisites: none								
Number of credits allocated	Student's work	load	Contact hou	rs l		Individual work		
5	135		48		87			
Purpose of the course unit – to gain deeper theoretical and practical requirements engineering knowledge, necessary to elicit, model, document, manage, analyse and validate requirements; to develop the competences to practically use requirements engineering methods; to develop abilities to choose and adopt the most appropriate requirements engineering methods, models, processes, and tools; to develop creativity and critical thinking.								
Learning outcomes of the	ne course unit:		eaching and learnin			Assessment		
students will be able toAbility to creatively apply gained requirementsengineering knowledge in developing well-engineered systems; to identify requirements ofdifferent levels and types; to apply a range ofrequirements elicitation techniques; to model,document, analyse, prioritise and validaterequirements; to select and use the principles andtechniques for effective requirementsmanagement.Ability to chose the most appropriate requirementsprocess model; to measure and assess therequirements engineering process; to competentlyimprove this process; to chose an architecturalstyle to best meet the requirements; to allocaterequirements to architectural components; tooperationalize non-functional requirements; to			res, problem-oriented teaching, case s, discussions, information search, dual work, tutorials, laboratory works		ı,	methods Final assessment of subjects – written exam (open-ended questions). Laboratory works, documentation, and oral presentation of their results.		
choose the most appropriate tools and techniques. Ability to work and communicate in a team environment, to cooperate with representatives of other professional fields.			dual work, tutorials, laboratory works			Laboratory works and oral presentation of their results.		
Ability to communicate the results effectively, articulate a personal position, to present arguments, to give reasons to support the opinion.			ort preparation, discussions, oral the content of presentation, at to questions,			presentation, answers		

	Contact hours				Individual work: time and assignments				
Course content: breakdown of the topics	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Practice	Laboratory work	Practical training	Contact hours	Individual work	Assignments
1. Conceptual analysis of key concepts. Requirements types. Levels of requirements. Zachman's framework for requirements engineering (RI).	2						2	4	Self-study of literature.
2. Requirements artefacts: business level requirements for enterprise system.	3				2		5	6	First laboratory work: assign the roles to
3. Requirements artefacts: user level requirements for enterprise system.	2				1		3	4	group members; decide on the system type (including application and problem domains) and tool to support the
4. Requirements artefacts: enterprise wide information system requirements. Architectural design and requirements allocation.	2				1		3	6	requirements engineering process; compile the list of necessary requirements artefacts; perform business analysis and identify user needs; derive software system requirements from business and users needs; document
5. Requirements artefacts: enterprise software requirements.6. Conceptual analysis of	2				1		3	10	them. Get ready to defend laboratory work - prepare a presentation. Make a presentation
requirements process, its relation with the other software engineering processes. The requirements process models.	4				2		6	8	and defend work. Self-study of literature.
7. Advanced requirements elicitation, analysis and modelling methods. Requirements consistency analysis, requirements prioritization.	5				3		8	12	Second laboratory work: define viewpoints and perspectives (aspects under consideration) related to requirements
8. Requirements flowdown and operationalization.	4				3		7	10	levels; choose documents templates for the requirements levels in question; plan the
 9. Formal, semi-formal and informal requirements verification and validation methods. 	2				1		3	6	requirements flowdown; use requirements flowdown method; on the basis of the results obtained, analyze and evaluate the consistency of requirements at different levels, the quality of software system
10. International standards and templates for writing requirements. Requirements documents.	2				1		3	6	Get ready to defend laboratory work - prepare a presentation. Make a presentation
11. Practical problems of requirements engineering, including requirements management and tool support for requirements engineering.	4				1		5	8	and defend work. Self-study of literature.
12. Preparation for and taking the exam.								7	Self-study of literature.
Total	32				16		48	87	

Assessment strategy	Weight %	Deadline	Assessment criteria
			A 10-mark evaluation system is applied. Accumulative marks are applied as well. The mark of the subject consists of the evaluation of the laboratory works (the minimum required is 2.5 points; the maximum is 5 points) and the exam (the minimum required is 2.5 points; the maximum is 5 points). If at least one minimum rating has not been received the subject mark is less than 5.
2 laboratory works	50	The 10th and 16th week	Matters assessed: 1. Content. The assessment criteria of laboratory works (requirements specification, requirements specification analysis, requirements specification verification and validation, requirements flowdown) are the following: comprehensiveness; consistency; choice of appropriate models, methods and tools; validity of recommendations and conclusions; ability to work according to specified requirements; ability to prepare technical documentation; ability to work in a group; use of appropriate professional terminology; ability to plan the work and keep time constraints. 2. Oral presentation of work. The assessment criteria of oral presentation are the following: presentation structure and style (clear and logical structure, all the necessary components, use of visual aids); presentation. Evaluation. The evaluation of each laboratory work consists of the sum of its content and presentation (maximum score is 5). Each laboratory work (content) is rated up to 4 points. Presentation of each laboratory work is evaluated up to 1 point. If the work is incomplete, it contains errors, the score is reduced proportionally. After one week's delay in presentation the mark is reduced by 1 point, after 2 or more weeks by 2 points, that is, the mark is reduced for being unable to work according to the given instructions and the plan. The total mark of practical work is the arithmetic mean of all laboratory works estimates.
Exam (written)	50	The end of exam session	The exam consists of 3-7 open-ended questions of different types, each with a score of 0.5 to 1.5 (depending on its type – short or long answer question). The questions cover topics presented and discussed in the lectures. It is allowed to take the exam if all laboratory works are defended during practical classes and at least 2.5 points have been collected for them. The exam is not passed if its mark is less than 2.5.

Author	Publis hing	Title	Number or volume	Publisher or URL
	year			
Required reading				
K. Pohl	2010	Requirements Engineering. Fundamentals, Principles, and Techniques		Springer-Verlag
A. Čaplinskas	2006	Requirements Engineering (in Lithuanian)		Vilnius University
S. Robertson, J. Robertson	2013	Mastering the Requirements Process	3rd edition	Addison-Wesley Professional
I. K. Bray	2002	Introduction to Requirements Engineering		Addison Wesley

P. A. Laplante	2017	Requirements Engineering for	3rd edition	Auerbach Publications
		Software and Systems		
Recommended reading				
D. C. Hay	2002	Requirements Analysis: From		Prentice Hall PTR
		Business Views to Architecture		
K. E. Wiegers	2013	Software Requirements	3rd edition	Microsoft Press
S. Lauesen	2002	Software Requirements: Styles		Addison-Wesley
		and Techniques		
D. Kulak, E. Guiney	2004	Use Cases: Requirements in	2nd edition	Addison Wesley Professional
		Context.		
L. Chung, B.A. Nixon,	2000	Non-Functional Requirements		Kluwer Academic Publishers
E.Yu, J. Mylopoulos		in Software Engineering		
S. R. Schach	2010	Object-Oriented and Classical	8th edition	McGraw-Hill Higher Education
		Software Engineering		
I. Sommerville	2015	Software Engineering	10th edition	Pearson