

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Course code
Media Linguistics I/ III / Medijų lingvistika I/ III	

Lecturer(s)	Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered
Coordinator: Prof. Dr J. Korostenskienė	Faculty of Philology,
Others: Assoc. Prof. Dr L. Bikelienė, Assoc. Prof. Dr	Institute of Foreign Languages
Lina Inčiuraitė -Noreikienė	

Study cycle	Level of the course unit (module)	Type of the course unit (module)
Second	1/3	Mandatory

Mode of delivery	The period when the course unit (module) is delivered	Language(s) of instruction
Interactive lectures, seminars, self- study	Autumn	English

Reikalavimai studijuojančiajam								
Prerequisites:	Additional requirements (if any):							
English C1	N/A							

Course (module) volume in credits	Total student workload	Contact hours	Self-study hours
5	135	48	87

Purpose of the course unit (module):

Aim of the course unit:

- to introduce the field of medialinguistics, overviewing the fundamental issues of linguistics and their application in media research
- to provide knowledge and understanding of media linguistics as a science, its methodology, and the subject, *the media text*;
- to develop a reflective approach and provide knowledge for interpreting active processes that take place in the modern English language system: changes in the use of units at different levels of English (phonemes, morphemes, lexemes, intonation, punctuation) in contemporary spoken and written texts;
- to develop skills to analyze and evaluate phonological / phonetic, morphological and lexical features of media texts, applying medialinguistic methods and to develop flexibility in critical analytical thinking; the ability to organize one's work and learning, to choose the right strategies for task completion, to train for quality.

Learning outcomes of the course unit (module)	Study methods	Assessment methodss
Upon completion of the course, the students:		
 - will have revised the main concepts of linguistics as applicable in the field of medialinguistics; - will have knowledge of medialinguistics as a science, its origins, scope, and range of problems addressed, its methodology and its subject, the media text; 	Analysis and interpretation, problem statement, self-study, group discussion. Research methods (information search, analysis of written and spoken texts, preparation and	Oral presentation, group discussion, individual completion of tasks, examination.

	т	
- will have gained knowledge on the approaches	presentation of reports and	
to research of English-language media texts as	written papers).	
well as the characteristics and differences of		
media-text analysis;		
- will have gained knowledge on the		
characteristic properties and peculiarities of		
various dimensions of media texts;		
- will have acquainted with the principles of		
multimodal analysis;		
- will have knowledge of phonetic,	Analysis, discussion, problem-	
morphological, and lexical changes taking place	solving, individual work, group	
		O-1
in the English language system;	discussion. Research methods	Oral presentation, group
- will be able to purposefully select lexical,	(information search, analysis	discussion, individual
phonetic, morphological, and grammatical	of oral and written texts,	completion of tasks,
variants of English, to analyze them and to	preparation and delivery of	analytical written projects,
evaluate their use in media texts;	presentations and written	examination.
- will have developed understanding of the genre-	projects).	
specific language properties;		
-will be able to determine the criteria for		
phonetic, morphological and lexical variants of		
non-compliance with norms and to develop		
language techniques to eliminate violations of	Individual work and	
phonetic, morphological and lexical norms in	presentation during seminars,	Oral presentation, group
media texts;	group discussions, active	discussion, written
- will be able to provide practical	listening to other presentations	assignments.
recommendations to eliminate violations of	and their critical appreciation.	Individual completion of tasks.
		murviduai completion of tasks.
norms;	Preparation for seminars,	
- will be able to prepare recommendations for	examination.	
the use of phonetic, morphological and lexical		
variants of English in order to ensure the		
effectiveness of communication;		
- will be able to set goals, choose the necessary		
resources and appropriate methods to complete		
the task;		
- will be able to plan stages of activity, defining		
the risk of unforeseen situations, will be able to		
adjust the plan in the process of its		
implementation;		Completion of tasks both
- will be able to identify and evaluate	Preparation for seminars,	individually and in groups.
phenomena, distinguish / identify their	examination.	Examination.
components, link different insights into a		
coherent whole, present reasonable conclusions		
in solving complex problems at the theoretical		
and practical levels;		
- will be able to provide a critical assessment of		
personal achievements.		

	Contact hours								Self-study work: time and assignments	
Topics	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship/work	Contact hours	Self-study hours	Assignments	
The field of Medialinguistics: the formation, the term, trends, and research approaches. Perspectives on the role of and changes in language in public space. Language study as a reflection of the social construction of reality.	2		2				4	6	Note: Lecture materials, reading assignments available on MOODLE	

						Mandatory lit-re: Lunt & Livingstone 2001; Lugingbűhl 2015: 9-26; Hepp et al. 2015; McLuhan 1964/1994: 7-33; Cotter 2010: 15-29; Perrin 2013: 16-32; Fairclough: 1989, 1995; Wodak & Busch 2004; Berger and Luckmann (1967) (selected pages). Discussion, analysis of approaches, application to analysis of media data
2. The concept of mediatisation/medialisation in English media studies. Perspectives on Mediatisation as a "Key Concept or Conceptual Bandwagon". Four stages of mediatisation. Memory and mediatisation. Manifestations of mediatisation in sociolinguistic research: from tradition to present-day issues.	2	2		4	7	Mandatory lit-re: Hjarvard 2013: 16- 50, Tagg 2015: 90- 130; Hepp et.al. 2015; Deacon&Stanyer201 4: 1032-1044; McLuhan 1964/1994 41-62. Androutsopoulos 2014: 50-89. Discussion, analysis of approaches, finding supporting/conflictin g evidence in the media.
3. The functions of language in the media. The phenomenon of enregisterment. Enregisterment and sociolinguistic processes. Language in social media: research and trends. Multimodal approaches.	2	2		4	7	Mandatory lit-re: Shifman 2013; Snell 2017 or Squires 2010; Zampa & Bletsas 2017; Ruzaitė 2019 Discussion, analysis of approaches, finding supporting/conflictin g evidence in the media. Analysis of examples.
Polemics in the news: journalism, news writing, news values, and news research trends. Evaluation in language. The notion of framing.	2	2		4	7	Mandatory lit-re: Cotter 2010: 65-85; 187-201; 219-230 Bednarek & Caple 2014; McLuhan1964/1994: 77-106, van Dijk 1985: 155-185; Gamson & Modigliani 1989 or Lindström & Marais 2012.

		1					
							Discussion, analysis of approaches, crosslinguistic comparisons, concept map construction, comparisons.
5. Fundamentals of syntactic analysis: the structure of the clause, clause types. Syntactic ambivalence and ambiguity. Information packaging and its effects on discourse. Opposition in discourse.	4		4		8	11	Burch 2003: 20-58, Huddleston, R. and G. Pullum 2007: 3- 80; Haegeman 2005: 1-50; Billing 2008 or Jalifiar 2019 or Molek-Kozakowska 2017; Davies 2008 (selected pages) Syntactic analysis of media texts of various genres comparisons, discussion.
6. Active processes in modern English phonetic / phonological system. Classification of changes. Phonetic / phonological factors in media.	4		4		8	12	Mandatory lit-re: Bjelaković 2016; Cruttenden 2014: Ch. 7.10 (83-85); Eisenstein 2013, 2015; Hall-Lew, Friskney & Scobbie 2017; Hall-Lew, Coppock & Starr 2010; Hannisdal 2006: Ch.4 (86-124); Kirkham & Moore 2016. Discussion and phonetic / phonological analysis of media data.
7. Prosodic peculiarities of public speeches	2		2		4	6	Mandatory lit-re: Sayenko: 2014: 81- 97; Stangert 2005; Wichmann 2013: 25- 33. Analysis and interpretation of intonation of media data
8. Extra- and intralinguistic factors in the lexical system of the English language development. Active lexical processes in English media texts. Questions related to the English language change: rule, analogy, profitability. Types of neologisms in English media texts (reduplication, acronyms, clippings and their types)	2		2		4	6	Mandatory lit-re: Mattiello2013: 22- 64; Plag 2014: 116- 131; Tokar 2012:78- 110; Munat 2007: 3- 12. Word formation analysis in written and spoken media texts.
9. The concept of productivity. Reguliarity, irregularity. Criteria of well-formedness. Means of morphological negation in English media texts.	1		1		2	5	Mandatory lit-re: Carstairs-McCarthy 2014: 85-99; Mattiello 2013: 109- 111; 138-141; 167-

						169; 184-185; 197- 198; Munat 2007: 64-66; Mattiello 2013: 97-105; 128- 135; 160-165; 180- 184; 192-197; 207; Bauer 2013:364-384. Analysis of the use of grammatical competence in written and spoken media texts.
10. Complex words in English media texts, their structure and internal form.	1	1		2	4	Mandatory lit-re: Bauer 2013: 432- 490; Munat 2007: 115-133; 138-159. Analysis of the link between morphological forms and content.
11.Extra-grammatical constructions in English media texts. Principles of contextual suitability.	2	2		4	6	Mandatory lit-re: Mattiello 2013: 210- 244; Bauer et.al2013: 518-533. Analysis and evaluation of the use of morphological forms in various contexts.
Preparation for the examination					10	
m . I	24	24		48	0=	
Total	24	24		48	87	

A saggement stratogy	Weig	Assessmen	Assessment criteria
Assessment strategy			Assessment criteria
Homework assignments and work throughout the semester	ht, % 60%	During the semester	Quality of contributions is assessed, in particular: ability to formulate the answer in an argumented way; demonstration of understanding of the topics analyzed, ability to raise questions and formulate reasonable hypotheses; ability to provide relevant examples; - Level of preparation: timely completion of the assignments; - Active participation in the discussions. Assessment formula: RV = (∑ _{Rv} : n), where RV − Average for written assignments ∑ _{rv} − sum total of grades received n − number of written assessments Every assignment is graded on the following basis: 10 points − the student demonstrates the ability to conduct analysis of texts within the overall context of the discipline, to develop quality analysis, to draw conclusions, and demonstrates critical thinking skills. 8-9 points − the student can relate theoretical and practical issues, draws conclusions that are consistent with their line of argumentation and demonstrates critical thinking skills. 6-7 points − the student demomstrates superficial knowledge, his/her independent study reflects a narrow perspective on one or several aspects, critical thinking is not substantially developed. 5 points − the student's exposition of the topic is fragmented and superficial.

			1-4 points – the topic as discussed demonstrates lack of understanding. The grade is cumulative: it is drawn from the three components of the course. Within each component, the intermediate grade is drawn for homework assignments and work throughout the semester following the formula: 50% (Medialinguistics) + 25% (Phonetics/Phonology) + 25% (Morphology).
Final project	40%	During Winter session	The student presents analysis of three scholarly articles on the selected aspect of the course within one of the components covered during the course. The presentation has to meet the requirements available on Moodle Course page and has to be uploaded by January 2, 2024. The oral presentation takes place during the Winter session and is assessed following the rubric below: 40%: the student's answer demonstrates successful incorporation of several essential aspects, the details are related to the conclusions, and the paper demonstrates good understanding of the linguistic material covered. 30%: the student's answer provides the most significant aspects of the relevant literature covered, which are related inbetween, but there are some deficiencies; 20%: the student's answer contains a number of imprecisions, some statements lack argumentation. While the answer contains important aspects of the issue discussed, these are not related in-between; 10%: the student's answer focuses on one linguistic aspect, or one element of the material studied only. The answer lacks coherence and contains significant deficiencies or subject-related errors; 0%: the student provides irrelevant information, does not demonstrate profound understanding of the topic, does not manage to construct the proper answer to the question(s) posed.

Author	Year of public ation	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication	Publishing place and house or web link
Mandatory literature				
Androutsopoulos J.	2014	Mediatization and sociolinguistic change. Key concepts, research traditions, open issues.	In Mediatisation and Sociolinguisti c Change. deGruyter: Berlin, Boston. Pp. 3- 56.	https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110 346831.3 https://jannisandroutsopoulos.fil es.wordpress.com/2015/05/andr outsopoulos-2014- mediatization-and- sociolinguistic-change- introduction.pdf
Bauer L., Lieber R. & Plag I.	2013	The Oxford Reference Guide to English Morphology.		OUP

Bednarek, M. & Caple, H.	2014	Why do news values matter? Towards a new methodological framework for analyzing news discourse in Critical Discourse Analysis and beyond.	Discourse & Society 25/2: 135-158.	https://journals.sagepub.com/doi /abs/10.1177/095792651351604 1	
Berger, P. & Luckmann, T.	1967	The Social Construction of Reality.	Penguin Books	https://web.archive.org/web/ 20191009202613id_/http://p erflensburg.se/Berger%20so cial-construction-of- reality.pdf	
Billing, M.	2008	The language of ctitical discourse analysis: the case of nominalization.	Discouse & Society 19(6), 783-800.	https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/ds pace- jspui/bitstream/2134/13361/ 3/nominc.pdf	
Bjelaković, A.	2016	The vowels of contemporary RP: Vowel formant measurements for BBC newsreaders	English Language & Linguistics, 21(3), pp. 501-532.	DOI:10.1017/S13606743160 00253	
Burch, C.B.	2003	A Writer's Grammar.		Longman	
Castairs-McCarthy	2014	An Introduction to English Morphology.		Edinburgh University Press	
Cruttenden, A.	2014	Gimson's Pronunciation of English	8th Edition	London / NewYork: Routledge.	
Davies, M.	2008	Oppositions in News Discourse: the ideological construction of us and them in the British press.	Doctoral thesis, University of Huddersfield	https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/e print/8352/1/mdaviesfinalthe sis.pdf	
Eisenstein, J.	2013	Phonological Factors in Social Media Writing	Proceedings of the Workshop on Language Analysis in Social Media, pp. 11–19.	https://aclanthology.org/W13 -1102.pdf	
Eisenstein, J.	2015	Systematic Patterning in Phonologically-motivated Orthographic Variation	Journal of Sociolinguisti cs, 19(2), pp. 161-188.	https://doi.org/10.1111/josl.1 2119	
Gamson, W.A. & Modigliani, A.	1989	Media discourse and public opinion on nuclear power: A constructionist approach.	American Journal of Sociology, 95, 1-37.		
Haegeman, L.	2005	Thinking Syntactically.		Wiley-Blackwell	
Hall-Lew, L., Coppock, E., & Starr, R. L.	2010	Indexing political persuasion: Variation in the Iraq vowels.	American Speech, 85(1), pp. 91-102.	https://doi.org/10.1215/0003 1283-2010-004	
Hall-Lew, L., Friskney, R. & Scobbie J.M.	2017	Accommodation or Political Identity: Scottish Members of the UK Parliament	Language Variation and Change, 29(3), pp. 341-363.	https://test- eresearch.qmu.ac.uk/bitstrea m/handle/20.500.12289/488 6/eResearch%204886.pdf?se quence=1	
Hannisdal B.R.	2006	Variability and change in Received Pronunciation. A study of six phonological variables in the speech of television newsreaders.		The University of Bergen https://bora.uib.no/bora- xmlui/bitstream/handle/1956 /2335/Dr.Avh.Bente%20Han nisdal.pdf	
Hepp, A. Hjarvard, S. & Lundby, K.	2015	Mediatization: Theorizing the Interplay between Media, Culture and Society.	Media Culture &	https://journals.sagepub.com /doi/10.1177/016344371557 3835	

			G: 27(2)		
			Society 37(2), pp. 1-11		
Huddleston, R. & Pullum, G.	2007	A Student's Introduction to English Grammar	FF: ==	CUP	
Jalifiar, A., Morady, Y.	2019	Tourism discourse revisited: an analysis of evaluative strategies in tourist brochures from a Systemic Functional Linguistics Perspective.	Journal of English Studies	https://publicaciones.unirioja .es/ojs/index.php/jes/article/v iew/3595	
Kirkham, S. & Moore, E.	2016	Constructing social meaning in political discourse: Phonetic variation and verb processes in Ed Miliband's speeches Language Society 45(1), pp.8 111		https://www.jstor.org/stab le/43904635	
Lindström, M. & Marais, W.	2012	Qualitative news frame analysis: a methodology	Communitas 17: 21-38	http://scholar.ufs.ac.za:8080/ bitstream/handle/11660/3650 /comm_v17_n1_a9.pdf?sequ ence=3&isAllowed=y	
Luginbűhl M.	2015	Media Linguistics: On Mediality and Culturality.	10 Plus 1, LivingLinguis tics, Issue 1	http://10plus1journal.com/wp- content/uploads/2015/09/00 OPENER_Luginbuehl.pdf	
Lunt, P. & Livingstone, S.	2001	Language and the media: An emerging field for social psychology. In Robinson, W. Peter and Giles, Howard. 2001. The New Handbook of Language and Social Psychology. LSE Research Online		London, UK: John Wiley and Sons, 2001, pp. 585-600	
McLuhan, M.	1964, 1994	Understanding Media. The Extensions of Man.		MIT	
Molek-Kozakowska, K.	2017	Communicating environmental science beyond academia: Stylistic patterns of newsworthiness in popular science journalism	Discourse & Communicati on Vol. 11(1) 69– 88	https://journals.sagepub.com/doi /abs/10.1177/175048131668329 4	
Munat J.	2007	Lexical Creativity, Texts and Contexts.		JohnBenjaminsPublishingComp any: Amsterdam/Philadelphia	
Plag I.	2014	Word-Formation in English.		Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics (draft version available at http://www2.uni- siegen.de/~engspra/plag-in- press.pdf)	
Sayenko T.	2014	Prosodic enancers of humorous effect in political speeches. // Van Belle, H. et al. Let's talk politics: new essays on deliberative rhetoric, 81-97.		Amsterdam and Philadelphia: JohnBenjamins. https://doi.org/10.1075/aic.6.05s ay	
Shifman, L.	2013	Memes in a digital world: reconciling with a conceptual troublemaker	reconciling with a conceptual Communicati		
Snell, J.	2017	Enregisterment, indexicality and the social meaning of <i>Howay</i> .	In C. Montgomery & E. Moore (Eds.). Language and	Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.	

	1	I	T		
			a Sense of Place: Studies in Language and Region, pp. 301-324.		
Squire, L.	2010	Enregistering Internet language.	Language in Society, 39, pp. 457-492.	https://www.cambridge.org/c ore/journals/language-in- society/article/enregistering- internet- language/F8A79BB74879D0 22D911F3B818B727BF	
Strangert, E.	2005	Prosody in public speech: analyses of a news announcement and a political interview.		https://www.researchgate.net/pu blication/221481197 Prosody i n_public_speech_analyses_of_a news_announcement_and_a_P olitical_interview DOI:10.21437/Interspeech.2005 -827	
Tokar, A.	2012	Introduction to English Morphology.		Peter Lang: InternationalerVerlag der Wissenschaften(63-172)	
Van Dijk, T.A.	1985	News Schemata	Studying Writing: Linguistic Approaches. Charles R. Cooper and Sydney Greenbaum, eds.	Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viev doc/download;jsessionid=3D8E 91174B691EE2EE39DAA9055 231B5?doi=10.1.1.653.294&rej =rep1&type=pdf	
Wichmann, A.	2013	Intonation in Text and Discourse: Beginnings, Middles and Ends.		Londonand / NewYork: Routledge.	
Wodak, R. & Busch, B.	2004	Approaches to media texts.	In: John Downing; Denis McQuail; Philip Schlesinger; Ellen Wartella (eds.) Handbook of Media Studies	Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage, pp. 105- 123 http://users.auth.gr/tsokalid/f iles/wodak.pdf	
Supplementary literature	T	XX 1' '.1 1	T		
Cameron, D.	2001	Working with spoken discourse.		London: Sage	
Deacon, D. & Stanyer, J.	2014	Mediatization: Key Concept or Conceptual Bandwagon?	Media, Culture&Soci ety, 36(7), pp. 1032-1044	Sage Journals	
Fairclough, N.	1995	Media Discourse.		London: Edward Arnold.	
Fruto, C., Reguya, R., Yap, A.	2014	Acronymy, Initialism, and Blendingin Facebook Posts and Comments.		Longman https://www.academia.edu/5 683316/Morphological_Proc esses_in_FB; pp. 1-20	
Нерр, А.	2015	Mediatization: Theorizing the Interplay between Media, Culture and Society. In: Media, Culture & Society, p. 1-11.	Sage Journals	http://mcs.sagepub.com/cont ent/early/2015/02/16/016344 3715573835.full	

Hernández-Guerra, C.	2014	An overview of the approaches and methods for analysing a text from a discursive viewpoint Onomázein, 30, pp. 237-247.		
Hjarvard, S.	2006	The Mediatization of Religion: A Theory of the Media as an Agent of Religious Change.	Paper presented to the 5th International Conference on Media, Religion and Culture, Stockholm/Si gtuna/Uppsala , Sweden, 6-9 July, 2006	http://oldintranet.oikosnet- europe.eu/Archives/Meeting s/Annual_Conferences/Sigtu na_2006/Download/The% 20 mediatization% 20of% 20relig ion.pdf
Johnson, S. & Milani, T.M. (eds.)	2010	Language Ideologies and Media Discourse: Text, Practices, Politics		Continuum
Kramp, L., Carpentier, N., Hepp, A., Tomanić, I., Nieminen, H., Kunelius, R., Olsson, T., Sundinand, E., Kilborn, R. (eds).	2014	Media Practice and Everyday Agency in Europe.		http://www.researchingcom munication.eu/book9chapter s/C04_Lundby1314.pdf
Kramp, L., Carpentier, N., Hepp, A., Tomanić, I., Nieminen, H., Kunelius, R., Olsson, T., Sundinand, E., Kilborn, R. (eds).	2015	Journalism, Representation editionlumièr and the Public Sphere. eBremen		http://www.researchingcom munication.eu/SuSo14_Book _Web.pdf
Rudaitienė V.	2011	Globalizacija ir leksikos pokyčiai politiniame diskurse. //ResHumanitariae.Klaipėda: Klaipėdos universiteto leidykla, 2011. Nr. X. P. 256–283.		
Page, R., Barton D., Unger, J.W., Zappavigna, M.	2014	Researching Language and Social Media: A Student Guide.		Routledge
Ruzaitė, J.	2019	Corpus-assisted discourse analysis of new digital genres: A study of online product descriptions in Lithuania Kalbu studijos, 35, pp. 34-48.		
Sandbothe M.	2005	Pragmatic Media Philosophy: a New Internet Age		http://www.sandbothe.net/pmp.pdf, http://www.sandbothe.net/38 1.html
Tagg, C.	2015	Exploring Digital Communication: Language in Action		Routledge
Thurlow, C., Mroczek, K. (eds.)	2010	Digital Discourse: Language in the New Media		OUP
Wooffitt, R.	2005	Conversation analysis and discourse analysis. A comparative and critical introduction.		London: Sage

The course description	Signature	Approved by the Study	Signature	Date
developed by		Committee		

Prof. Dr J. Korostenskienė, Assoc. Prof. Dr L. Bikelienė, Assoc. Prof. Dr Lina Inčiuraitė-Noreikienė		31 08 2023