

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Code
Media Discourse/ Žiniasklaidos diskursas	

Lecturer(s)	Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered
Coordinator: assoc. prof. dr. Anna Ruskan	Department of English Philology
Other(s):	Faculty of Philology

Study cycle	Type of the course unit (module)			
BA	Elective			

Mode of delivery	The period when the course unit (module) is delivered	Language(s) of instruction
Interactive lectures, seminars	Autumn semester	English

Requirements for students				
Prerequisites: Additional requirements (if any):				
C1 level of English	No			

Course (module) volume in credits	Total student's workload	Contact hours	Self-study hours
5	150	32	118

Purpose of the course unit (module): programme competences to be developed

The course aims to develop the students' linguistic competence and skills in critical thinking by exploring the news discourse in online newspapers, social media and in news interviews. The course introduces the students to language and images employed in a variety of media genres (news reports, opinion columns, editorials, tweets, news interviews) as well as to the framework of evaluation to be applied to authorial and non-authorial assessments in media discourse. The course also aims to develop the students' skills in reading and writing at an advanced level. Generic competences:

- 1. **Responsibility**: the ability to set goals and make plans, and take responsibility for them:
 - 1.1 Will be able to set goals, choose and use resources necessary for the completion of a task, plan their time and follow deadlines;
 - 1.2 will be able to take responsibility for their work / study results and learn from mistakes.
- 2. **Co-operation:** the ability to successfully work in a team
 - 2.1 Will be able to work in a team by setting common goals, sharing information, and looking for solutions together;2.2 will be able to motivate other team members to achieve common goals.
- 3. **Intercultural competence:** respect and openness to other cultures, the ability to work in a multicultural environment 3.1 Will be able to understand the specifics of different cultures and to analyse and assess cultural contexts;
 - 3.2 will be able to study, work, and communicate with people from different cultural backgrounds and develop awareness, respect, and openness to cultural diversity.

4. **Problem solving:** the ability to solve problems by relying on analytical, critical, and creative thinking

- 4.1 Will be able to identify problems and challenges in their own and related fields;
- 4.2 will be able to identify problems by finding, analysing, and critically assessing relevant information, generate new ideas, choose the most optimal solutions.
- 5. **Openness to change:** the ability to understand the necessity of change and the intention to constantly improve oneself
 - 5.1 Will be familiar not only with the changes taking place in their field of interest, but also their causes, challenges,

opportunities;

- 5.2 will be open to new ideas, strive to change, and be creative and innovative;
- 5.3 will be able to evaluate the quality of their actions and achievements and will strive to acquire the competencies necessary for future change.

Subject-specific competences:

- 6. **Essential knowledge and skills in linguistics:** perception of language as a phenomenon and perception of linguistics as a scientific discipline.
 - 6.1 Will know, understand and be able to define linguistics as a scientific discipline and to properly use and interpret the basic concepts and terms of linguistics.
- 7. Understanding and analysis of the English language system at various levels: phonetics, morphology, syntax, semantics, etc.
 - 7.1 Will be able to describe, analyse and interpret English-language phenomena at various levels (phonetic, morphological, syntactic, semantic, pragmatic, language development, etc.) and compare them with Lithuanian or another language using appropriate terminology and methods;
 - 7.2 will be able to effectively use and analyse English for specific purposes (science, politics, business, law, etc.).
- 8. Communication skills in English (C1-C2): listening, reading, speaking, writing, mediation
 - 8.1 Will be able to understand, translate, edit and create texts of various genres in English, taking into account the communication intention, addressee, etc. and mediate in various communication situations;
 - 8.2 will gain knowledge about the system and use of the Lithuanian language and will be able to name and explain the most prominent features of the Lithuanian language (similarities and differences) in comparison with other languages.
- 9. **Competence of a researcher of language and literature:** the ability to independently conduct linguistic, literary or interdisciplinary research applying the acquired philological knowledge and skills in practice
 - 9.1 Will be able to collect, analyse, systematise and critically evaluate material from various sources and adequately apply philological research methods to investigate the selected research problem;
 - 9.2 will be able to describe the results of the research in a scientific text, provide conclusions or recommendations, and present and defend them publicly.

10. Ability to apply philological knowledge and skills in practice within and outside the University

- 10.1Will be able to apply the acquired knowledge and skills of English philology during communication and cooperation in an intercultural (and multilingual) environment, creating, translating and editing various texts, teaching English as a foreign language, etc.;
- 10.2 will be able to use modern information technologies, data resources and research resources to conduct linguistic and literary analysis of English texts and present the results of analysis and/or interpretation to the public in order to contribute to the practical applicability of English philology studies (corpora, dictionaries, term banks and glossaries, thematic websites, literature maps, etc.).

Learning outcomes of the course unit (module)	Teaching and learning	Assessment methods
Learning outcomes of the course and (module)	methods	
Students will acquire: -the ability to identify verbal and non-verbal (visual, audio) realisations of news values in online newspapers and in social media; -the ability to analyse the structure of a news report, explore its linguistic features and to discuss the	Seminars: analytical reading and critical thinking, group discussions, practical exercises, presentations.	Accumulative evaluation: presentation (30%), participation (10%) and examination (60%). Attendance at seminars and the dalivery of the presentation is
functions of images in the news; -the ability to compare modes of reporting, manifestations of objectivity/intersubjectivity and images in news reports found in broadsheets and tabloids;		delivery of the presentation is obligatory.
-the ability to identify and explore the parameters of evaluation and interaction attested in argumentative newspaper genres (editorials, opinion columns, features), tweets, and in news interviews; -the ability to compare argumentative newspaper discourse in broadsheets and tabloids, papers		
representing different political outlooks (conservative vs liberal) and cultures (British vs American); -the ability to use appropriate metalanguage;		

				Con	tact h	ours			Self-	study work: time and assignments
Co	ontent: breakdown of the topics	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship/work blacement	Contact hours	Self-study hours	Assignments
dis Ne	ypes and functions of media. Media scourse and genres. ews discourse. Key linguistic approaches news discourse.	2						2	5	Reading: Bednarek, (2012: 2-13)Caple (2012: 2-13)Optional reading: Durant, (2009: 20-23; 26-29); Cotter (2010: 24-28)
na tal lib Th of	ewspaper discourse. Types of newspapers: ational vs regional; broadsheets vs bloids; newspapers with a conservative or beral political orientation. he concept of news values. An overview f verbal and non-verbal resources realising ews values.			2				2	10	Reading:Bednarek,Caple(2012: 39-81)Exercises:identification of newsvaluesandtheirverbalandnon-verbalrealisationsinbroadsheetsandtabloids
ch rej	anguage in the news: syntactic and lexical noices. News reports: structure, types of ported speech, reporting expressions and anifestations of objectivity/subjectivity.			6				6	13	Reading: Bednarek, Caple (2012: 85-94; 96- 104); Thompson et al. (2008: 212-219); Landert (2015: 32- 49); Facchinetti (2015: 176-182) Exercises: analysis of news reports in broadsheets and tabloids
4. In	mages in the news.			2				2	10	Reading:Bednarek,Caple(2012:125-131);Facchinetti (2021)Exercises:analysis of functionsofimagesinbroadsheetsandtabloids;relationshipbetweentheimage,caption,headline,

5. Comparison of news reports targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs tabloid) in terms of news values, structure, language and images. 4 15 Presentation 6. Evaluation in newspaper discourse: theoretical frameworks. Parameters of evaluation developed by Bednarek and Caple (2012). 2 15 Reading: Marin, While (2005; Marin, While (2017; Marin, Wh							lead and body of a
5. Comparison of news reports targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs labloid) in terms of news values, structure, language and images. 4 15 Presentation 6. Evaluation in newspaper discourse: 2 theoretical frameworks. Parameters of cvaluation developed by Bednarck and Caple (2012). 2 15 Reading: Martin, White (2005) and (2012). 7. Evaluation expressed in editorials, opinion columns and features: targets, sources, parameters and audience. 4 15 Reading: Parameters of editorials/opinion columns factures in and subscipation and stargeted at different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American). 4 15 Reading: Parameters of editorials/opinion columns factures in British and American British and American Broakheets. 8. Comparison of evaluation developed in editorials/opinion columns targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American). 2 2 4 10 Exercises: and British and American Broakheets. 9. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Paravigna (2011: 73-81); Zapavigna (2012: 37-81); Zapavigna (2012: 37-87); Zapavigna (2012: 37-97); Zapavigna (2012: 37-97); Zapavigna (2012: 37-97); Zapavigna (2012: 37-97); Zapavigna							•
6. Evaluation in newspaper discourse: theoretical frameworks. Parameters of evaluation developed by Bednarck and Caple (2012). 2 15 Reading: Marin, White (2005; 34-40); Bednarck, Caple (2012: 137-158); Exercises analysis of editorials/opinion columns/teatures: targets, sources, parameters and audience. 8. Comparison of evaluation developed in editorials/opinion columns/teatures in British and American broadsheets. 2 2 15 Reading: Reading: editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American broadsheets. 9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Page et al. (2014: 31- 34); Zapavigna (2012: 35-40); Zapavigna (2012: 35-40); 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Reading: Page et al. (2014: 31- 34); Zapavigna (2012: 35-40); 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Reading: Page et al. (2014: 31- 34); Zapavigna (2012: 35-40);	5.	different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American; broadsheet vs tabloid) in terms of news values, structure,		4	4	15	
columns and features: targets, sources, parameters and audience. Bednarek, Caple (2012: 137-158); Exercises: analysis of editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American broadsheets. 8. Comparison of evaluation developed in editorials/opinion columns targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American). 2 2 15 Exercises: analysis of editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American broadsheets. 9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Faechineti (2015; 807-811; Zappavigna (2011; 788-792) (Optional reading; Page et al. (2014: 31-34); Zappavigna (2011; 788-792) (Optional reading; Page et al. (2014: 31-34); Zappavigna (2012; 35-40); Zappavigna (2012; 35-40); Zappavigna (2012; 378-280; 282-288) (Exercises: analysis of politicians' tweets, analysis of audience (conservative vs. 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news in an interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Faechineti (2015; 278-280; 282-288) (Exercises: analysis of politicians' tweets, analysis of politicians' tweets; analysis of audience (2012; 278-280; 282-288) (Exercises: analysis of politicians' and answers in an interview; comments on evaluative language	6.	Evaluation in newspaper discourse: theoretical frameworks. Parameters of evaluation developed by Bednarek and	2		2	15	Martin, White (2005: 34-40); Bednarek, Caple
editorials/opinion columns targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American). analysis of editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American broadsheets. 9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Facchinetti (2015: 807-811; Zappavigna (2011: 788-792) 9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Facchinetti (2015: 807-811; Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2015: 278-280; 282-288) 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Page et al. (2014: 31- 34); Zappavigna (2015: 278-280; 282-288) 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) 2 2 4 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) Exercises: analysis of questions and answers in an interview; comments on evaluative language 4 10	7.	columns and features: targets, sources,		4	4	15	Bednarek, Caple (2012: 137-158); <u>Exercises:</u> analysis of editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American
9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Facchinetti (2015: 807-811; Zappavigna (2011: 788-792) 9. Evaluative dimension of tweets. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Facchinetti (2015: 807-811; Zappavigna (2011: 788-792) 9. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Facchinetti (2015: 807-811; Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2012: 35-40); Zappavigna (2015: 278-280; 282-288) Exercises; analysis of politicians' tweets; comments on evaluative language 10. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) 16. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) 16. Evaluation and interaction in a news interview. 2 2 4 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) 16. Evaluation interview; comments on evaluative language 10 Reading: Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275)	8.	editorials/opinion columns targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs		2	2	15	analysis of editorials/opinion columns/features in British and American
interview. Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) Exercises: analysis of questions and answers in an interview; comments on evaluative language							Reading: Facchinetti(2015: 807-811; Zappavigna(2011: 788-792) Optional reading: Page et al. (2014: 31- 34); Zappavigna(2012: 35-40); Zappavigna(2012: 2015: 278-280; 282-288) Exercises: analysis of politicians' tweets; comments(2015: on
	10.		2	2	4	10	Bull, Fetzer (2010: 163-167); Fetzer (2008: 272-275) Exercises: analysis of questions
Total 8 24 32 118							interview; comments on evaluative

Assessment strategy	Weight, %	Deadline	Assessment criteria
Accumulative evaluation:			

Presentation: Comparison of two news reports targeted at different types of audience (conservative vs liberal; British vs American; broadsheet vs tabloid)	30 %	October, November	The students are expected to compare the reporting of an event in two newspapers that have a different target audience (British vs American; broadsheet vs tabloid; conservative vs liberal). The main goal is to identify similarities and differences in the way the event is framed in the two newspapers. The following aspects are to be covered in the comparison: structure of the two reports; types of sources employed in the reports; construal of news values; functions of images.
			 The maximum evaluation of a presentation is 10 points. Points allocated for each aspect under evaluation: Similarities and differences in the structure of the reports (headline, lead, body/development) – 3 points Similarities and differences in types of reported speech and reporting expressions employed in the reports – 3 points Similarities and differences in the realisations of objectivity/subjectivity – 2 points Similarities and differences in the expression of communicative functions of images – 2 points
Participation Examination (Final test, written form) Grading scale: 100-95 – 10; 94-85 – 9; 84-75 – 8; 74-65 – 7; 64- 55 – 6; 54-45 – 5; 44 – fail;	<u>10 %</u> 60 %	January	Completion of homework assignments, discussion The final test consists of several tasks. The total number of points scored in the test is 100. The students will have to analyse extracts from British or American newspapers, tweets and news interviews and answer the questions on the basis of the theoretical texts covered in the course. They will have to identify the structure, key linguistic features and visual images of news reports in British/American broadsheets/tabloids. They will have to identify the targets(s) and source(s) of evaluation, target audience and parameters of evaluation in British/American editorials and opinion columns. They will also have to elaborate on the evaluative language of tweets and comment on the types of questions and answers employed in a news interview. The maximum evaluation of an examination is 10 points. <u>Additional remarks</u> : The course instructor reserves the right to modify homework assignments, the sequence of seminar topics and dates of seminars outlined in the course syllabus in order to facilitate the students' learning process.

Author	Year of public ation	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publication	Publishing place and house or web link
Compulsory reading				
Bednarek, Monika, Helen	2012	News Discourse		London, New York:
Caple				Bloomsbury
Bull, Peter, Anita Fetzer	2010	Face, facework and political	Revue	
		discourse	Internationale de	
			Psychologie	
			<i>Sociale</i> 2 (3):	

			155-185				
Facchinetti, Roberta	2015	English in social media: A linguistic analysis of tweets	Comunicación Social: Retos y Perspectivas, vol. II, Santiago de Cuba, Ediciones Centro de Lingüística Aplicada, Proce edings of "XIV Simposio Internacional de Comunicación Social", pp. 807 -811				
Facchinetti, Roberta	2015	News writing from the 1960s to the Present Day	<i>News as</i> <i>Changing Texts</i> , eds. Roberta Facchinetti, Nicholas Brownless, Birte Bös and Udo Fries	Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing			
Facchinetti, Roberta	2021	News discourse and the dissemination of knowledge and perspective: From print and monomodal to digital and multisemiotic	Journal of Pragmatics 175, 195-206.				
Fetzer, Anita, Peter Bull	2008	'Well, I answer it by simply inviting you to look at the evidence' The strategic use of pronouns in political interviews	Journal of Language and Politics 7:2, 271- 289				
Landert, Daniela	2015	Reportable facts and a personal touch. The functions of direct quotes in online news	The Pragmatics of Quoting Now and Then, eds. Jenny Arendholz, Wolfram Bublitz and Monika Kirner	London/New York: De Gruyter			
Martin, James R., Peter R. R. White	2005	<i>The Language of Evaluation: Appraisal in English</i>		Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan			
Thomson, Elisabeth A., Peter R. R White and Philip Kitley	2008	"Objectivity" and "hard news" reporting across cultures: Comparing the news report in English, French, Japanese and Indonesian journalism	Journalism Studies 9 (2): 212–228				
Zappavigna, Michele	2011	Ambient affiliation: A linguistic perspective on Twitter	<i>New Media and</i> <i>Society</i> 13 (5): 788-806				
Optional reading							
Bednarek, Monika	2016	Investigating evaluation and news values in news items that are shared through social	<i>Corpora</i> 11 (2): 227–257				

		media		
Bednarek, Monika, Helen Caple	2012	'Value added': Language, image and news values	Discourse, Context and Media 1, 103- 113.	
Breeze, Ruth, Ines Olza	2017	Evaluation in Media Discourse		Berlin: Peter Lang.
Caple, Helen, Changpeng Huan, Monika Bednarek	2020	Multimodal News Analysis Across Cultures		Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
Cotter, Colleen	2010	News Talk. Investigating the Language of Journalism		Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
Durant, Alan, Marina Lambrou	2009	Language and Media		London: Routledge
Harcup, Tony	2014	Dictionary of Journalism		Oxford: Oxford University Press
Page, Ruth, David Barton, Johann W. Unger, Michele Zappavigna	2014	Language and Social Media		London, New York: Routledge
Vis, Farida	2013	Twitter as a reporting tool for breaking news: Journalists tweeting the 2011 UK riots	Digital Journalism 1 (1): 27–47	
Zappavigna, Michele	2012	The Discourse of Twitter and Social Media		London, New York: Continuum
Zappavigna, Michele	2015	Searchable talk: The linguistic functions of hashtags	<i>Social Semiotics</i> 25 (3): 274–291	

The course unit (module) description was updated on August 30, 2023.