

COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Code
RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP	

Academic staff	Core academic unit(s)
Coordinators:	Vilnius University
Dr Antanas Ūsas	Kaunas Faculty
Other: -	Institute of Social Sciences and Applied Informatics
	Muitinės str. 8, LT-44280 Kaunas

Study cycle	Type of the course unit
Second	Optional

Mode of delivery	Semester or period when it is delivered	Language of instruction
Face to face/Remote	1 st semester	English

	Requisites
Prerequisites: -	Co-requisites (if relevant): -

Number of ECTS credits allocated	Student's workload (total)	Contact hours	Individual work	
5	130	34	96	

Purpose of the course unit								
To acquire theoretical and practical knowledge and abilities of the responsible leadership, to develop managerial competences								
Learning outcomes of the course unit	Teaching and learning methods	Assessment methods						
Is able to analyze the abilities, actions and results of a team leader as the Responsible Leader. Responsible leadership communication, analytical, problem solving, work in team, self-recognition and development, learning, and ability to act in an international organization skills.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.						
Is able to present ideas reasonably, provide suggestions, convince audience.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.						
Knows how to lead the team and is able to follow team management knowledge in practical situations, taking into account the team's international criteria and the challenges of sustainable development.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.						

Is able to identify and describe the main business problems and challenges of sustainable business development and provide possible solutions to the team.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.
Is able to lead and discover features of successful Responsible Leader.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.
Is able responsibly lead for business processes, human resources management, based on coaching, emotional intelligence, knowledge of entrepreneurship.	Academic lectures, research workshops, problem-solving practical learning, case study analysis, discussions, presentations.	Presentations, practical tests, analysis of case studies, final exam with open questions.

	Contact hours								dividual work: time and assignments		
Content		Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship	Contact hours, total	Individual work	Tasks for individual work		
Introduction to subject. The essence and concept of leadership. Entrepreneurship and Responsible Leadership and Corporate Social Responsibility.	1		1				2	5	Discussion, researchworkshop		
2. Leadership Theories: Trait, Contingency, Situational LeadershipTheories, Responsible Leadership Theory. Characteristics of leaders, styles, motives	2		2				4	10	Discussion, researchworkshop, case study		
3. Charismatic leaders. Transformational, Transactional Leaders	1		1				2	5	Problem-based learning, presentation, discussion		
4. Responsible and sustainable leadership. The role of responsible leadership in implementing the goals of sustainable development.	2		2				4	10	Problem-based learning, presentation, discussion		
5. The influence of responsible leadershipon the organization's activities.	1		1				2	5	Presentation, research workshop, discussion		
Possibilities for analysis and investigation of responsible leadershipattributes.			2				4	10	Presentation, Research workshop, discussion		
7. Groups and teams, team-building	1		1				2	5	Presentation, research workshop, discussion		
8. Personnel motivation. Leader communication.	2		2				4	10	Presentation, research workshop, discussion, case study		
9. Decision making. Conflict resolution.	1		1				2	5	Presentation, discussion		

10. Creative problem solving and responsible leadership. Responsible leadership and values, peculiarities of cultural diversity in leadership.	1		1		2	4	Presentation, discussion, case study, problem-basedlearning
11. Government power, organization policies and responsible leadership. Leader of the 21st century, challenges and prospects for leadership development.	2		2		2	10	Presentation, discussion, case study, problem-basedlearning
Preparation for the exam		2			2	17	
Total	16	2	16		34	96	

Assessment strategy	Weig ht,	Deadline	Assessment criteria
	%		
Activity during lectures	15 %	During the semester	Activity in Face to face is evaluated. Evaluation of communication skills, logical statements, argumentation. 1,5 point – all criteria are met 1 point - all criteria are met, but there are minor mistakes0,5 point – one of the criteria is not fully met 0 point - more than one criteria is not met
Individual homework	15 %	During the semester	These aspects are assessed: - Structure and volume: the structure of a work is clear and logic. Student presents theme, aims, tasks and analysis and interpretation of empirical material, conclusions. - Analysis and conclusions: very detailed analysis, conclusions reasoned and interpreted. - Scientific style: formulations and style meet the requirements of scientific style. 1,5 point – all criteria are met 1 point - all criteria are met, but there are minor mistakes0,5 point – one of the criteria is not fully met 0 point - more than one criteria is not met
Individual homework presentation	15 %	During the semester	These aspects are assessed: - The structure of a presentation is clear and logic Speaker's attractive communication Analysis and conclusions.1,5 point — all criteria are met 1 point - all criteria are met, but there are minor mistakes0,5 point — one of the criteria is not fully met 0 point - more than one criteria is not met
Midterm exam (written)	20 %	During the semester	Midterm test consist of open questions (different difficulty, from understanding to assessment). Assessment strategy: 2: Excellent knowledge and skills, some nonessentialmistakes. 90-100 % correct answers. 1,5: Very good skills and knowledge, some nonessentialmistakes. 71-90 % correct answers. 1: Good skills and knowledge, some mistakes. 61-70 % correct answers. 0.5: Moderate knowledge and skills, some mistakes. 51-60 % correct answers. 0: A lot of mistakes. Knowledge and skills are not evaluated. Less than 50 % correct answers.

Assessment strategy	Weig ht,	Deadline	Assessment criteria			
	%					
Exam (written)	35 %	At the end of the semester	The written exam consists of open and closed type questions(of different difficulty, from comprehension up to assessment). The evaluation is performed as follows: 3,5: Excellent knowledge and skills, some nonessentialmistakes. 90-100 % correct answers. 3: Very good skills and knowledge, some nonessentialmistakes. 80-90 % correct answers. 2,5: Good skills and knowledge, some mistakes. 70-80 % correct answers. 2: Moderate knowledge and skills, some mistakes. 60-70 % correct answers. 1,5: Knowledge and skills fall outside the moderate criteria, some essential mistakes. 50-60 % correct answers. 1: Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria. A lot ofmistakes. 40-50 % correct answers. 0: Less than 40 % correct answers. Knowledge and skills arenot evaluated.			

Student's knowledge and skills during the session are assessed only if he/she fulfilled the requirements and assignments of a mid-term test during the semester.

Student's knowledge and skills throughout all the tests and the examination is assessed from 1 to 10 points. The course is passed if:

- The results of all the tests are not lower than 5 points.
- The mark of the examination is not lower than 5 points;

In cases when the Assessment Strategy includes a written assignment (written work, research paper, project, etc.) and the Assessment Criteria do not include a defence or an oral presentation of the written work, the lecturer shall have the right to ask follow-up questions in order to make sure that no generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools (ChatGPT, etc.) were used by the student to prepare the assignment (i.e. the content of the work was not generated by AI tools) and, if necessary, to modify or cancel the evaluation of the work.

For the external examination, the following formula is applied:

Final grade = $(E\times0.7) + (I\times0.3)$

E = External Exam Score

I = Individual work

- 10: Excellent knowledge and skills, some nonessentialmistakes. 90-100 % correct answers.
- **9:** Very good skills and knowledge, some nonessentialmistakes. 80-90 % correct answers.
- 8: Good skills and knowledge, some mistakes. 70-80 %correct answers.
- 7: Moderate knowledge and skills, some mistakes. 60-70 %correct answers.
- **6:** Knowledge and skills fall outside the moderate criteria, some essential mistakes. 50-60 % correct answers.
- 5: Knowledge and skills meet minimum criteria. A lot ofmistakes. 40-50 % correct answers.
- 0: Less than 40 % correct answers. Knowledge and skills are not evaluated

The external examination will cover the entire module's content, assessing the full range of topics studied throughout the course.

Evaluation strategy working remotely the same as expected.

Author	Year of publica tion	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publicatio	Publishing place and house or web link			
Required reading							
V. Šilingienė	2012	Leadership		Kaunas, Kaunas University of Technology			
L. Šimanskienė, E. Župerkienė	2013	Sustainable leadership		Klaipėda University			

Author	Year of publica tion	Title	Issue of a periodical or volume of a publicatio	Publishing place and house or web link			
B. Martin, M. Breunig, M. Wagstaff, & M. Goldenberg, M.	2024	Outdoor leadership: Theory and practice		Human Kinetics.			
R. Bolden, J. Gosling, & B. Hawkins	2023	Exploring leadership: Individual, organizational, and societal perspectives		Oxford University Press			
V. Šilingienė	2012	Leadership		Kaunas, Kaunas University of Technology			
Recommended reading							
R.E Riggio, & T. Newstead.	2023	Crisis leadership		Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior,			
A. Pease	2013	Questions are the Answers: How to Get to 'Yes' in Network Marketing		Dajalita			
R. Goffee, G. Jones	2010	Management and leadership		Alma Littera			